UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,477	12/11/2003	David C. Hovda	S-16 2479	
	7590 11/16/2007 E CORPORATION		EXAMINER	
7500 Rialto Bo		PEFFLEY, MICHAEL F		
Building Two, Suite 100 Austin, TX 78735-8532			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3739	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/16/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

intel_prop@arthrocare.com

	Bes	t Available Copy				
			Application No.	Applicant(s)		
			10/735,477	HOVDA, DAVID C.		
	Office Action Sum	imary :	Examiner	Art Unit		
	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		Michael Peffley	3739		
Period fo	- I <i>ne MAILING DATE of thi</i> Reply	s communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHIC - Exten after 5 - If NO - Failur Any re	HEVER IS LONGER, FRO slons of time may be available under itX (6) MONTHS from the mailing da period for reply is specified above, the e to reply within the set or extended it	DM THE MAILING DA the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 te of this communication. e maximum statutory period w period for reply will, by statute, three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTHO ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 September 2007</u> .					
	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition	on of Claims					
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-5,7,8,10,11,13 (a) Of the above claim(s) Claim(s) is/are allo Claim(s) 1-5,7,8,10,11,13 Claim(s) 4 is/are objected Claim(s) are subjected	is/are withdrav wed. - <u>23 and 26</u> is/are reje to.	vn from consideration.			
Application	on Papers			•		
10)🖾 🗆	Applicant may not request the Replacement drawing sheet	$\frac{7/07}{100}$ is/are: a) \square accept at any objection to the correction in the correction \square	r. epted or b) □ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ton is required if the drawing(s) is obtainer. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12) <u></u> / a)[Acknowledgment is made All b) Some * c) 1 Certified copies of t Copies of the certified application from the	None of: he priority documents he priority documents ed copies of the prior International Bureau	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receive	ion No ed in this National Stage		
Attachment	14.5 E	· :				
1) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi	na Pavious (PTO 040)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D			
3) 🛛 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (I No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/25/07</u> .	PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/735,477

Art Unit: 3739

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 25, 2007 has been entered.

Information Disclosure Statement

Applicant should note that the large number of references in the attached IDS have been considered by the examiner in the same manner as other documents in Office search files are considered by the examiner while conducting a search of the prior art in a proper field of search. See MPEP 609.05(b). Applicant is requested to point out any particular references in the IDS which they believe may be of particular relevance to the instant claimed invention in response to this office action.

Claim Objections

Claim 4 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 4 recites the exact same limitations that have been added to independent claim 1 and therefore fail to further limit the subject matter.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/735,477

Art Unit: 3739

Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: "an access device" (line 1) should be changed to "the access device" in view of the amendment to claim 1.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 5 is unclear in that in contradicts with the amendment to claim 1. Claim 1 clearly recites viewing an interior of a disc, then treating the interior of the disc. Claim 5 calls for treating the disc prior to viewing which is contradictory to the language of claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13-23 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sharps et al (6,602,248) in view of the teaching of Banko (3,659,607).

Application/Control Number: 10/735,477

Art Unit: 3739

Sharps et al disclose a device and method for treating an intervertebral disc.

Access to the disc is gained by advancing a needle device (928 – Figures 41 and 44) into the disc. Sharps et al disclose treatment of the disc using a treatment device (918) that is inserted into the disc and provides RF energy to treat the disc tissue. The device may include a both poles of the RF electrode system on the treatment device, or only one pole on the device with the return pole located elsewhere within the disc (col. 56, lines 55-67). Sharps et al also disclose providing fluid to the disc space (col. 56, lines 1-15), as well as the use of various imaging techniques to view the disc space prior to and during treatment. Among the imaging techniques is the insertion of a fiber optic into the disc space through ancillary probe member (938). There is no specific teaching that a single access device is used to provide insertion of the optical fiber to view the tissue, followed by removal of the optical fiber and insertion of the treatment tool to treat tissue as recited in the instant claims.

The examiner maintains that the use of a single access device, which would minimize the trauma to the disc tissue, to perform both viewing and treating functions would be an obvious alternative methodology for one of ordinary skill in the art. In particular, Banko teaches that it is known to use a single access device, in this case for access ocular tissue, through which a variety of imaging and treatment devices may be individually advanced to perform a given procedure (col. 1, lines 45-60).

The specific steps of intermittently viewing and then treating tissue is deemed to be an obvious methodology for accurately and successfully performing the procedure.

That is, one of ordinary skill in the art would obviously recognize the advantages of

Art Unit: 3739

intermittently viewing the treatment area to assure the proper tissue is being treated and to ensure the treatment has been successfully performed.

The use of a single access device for selectively imaging and treating disc tissue while minimizing the trauma to the disc in the Sharps et al system would have be an obvious alternative methodology for one of ordinary skill in the art, particularly since Banko teaches that it is generally known to use a single access device for selectively inserting various imaging and treatment devices.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejected claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Peffley whose telephone number is (571) 272-4770. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 7am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3739

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael Peffley/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3739

/mp/ November 1, 2007